

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Parcht and Trademark Office Address: COMMESTONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 140 Alexandria, Uginia 2313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,954	03/29/2002	Takao Yoshimine	275753US6PCT	5975	
22850	7590 06/06/2006		EXAMINER		
•	PIVAK, MCCLELLA	PITARO, RYAN F			
1940 DUKE ALEXANDI	STREET RIA, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2174		
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/018	10/018,954 YOSHIMINE, TAI		KAO			
		Examir	ner	Art Unit				
		Ryan F.	. Pitaro	2174				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on t	the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr o period for reply is specified above, the maximum st are to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF sof 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. latutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUN event, however, may d will expire SIX (6) MO application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status	•							
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>16 March 200</i>	<u>96</u> .		•			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is	s non-final.		٠.			
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex parte</i> (Q <i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-13</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
.5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-13 is/are rejecte	d.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or electior	n requirement.	-				
Applicat	ion Papers		•					
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) accepted or	b) objected to	o by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the drawing(s	s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is req	uired if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner.	Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	'TO-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority (under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			•				
	1. Certified copies of the priority							
	2. Certified copies of the priority							
	3. Copies of the certified copies	•		en received in this Nationa	ıl Stage			
* /	application from the Internation	•		at received				
" `	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the ce	eninea copies no	ot received.				
1								
Attachmen	t(s)		•					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date	TO 453)			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/12/2006</u> .	r PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Other:	f Informal Patent Application (P1 	IU-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Amendment

This communication is responsive to the amendment filed 3/16/2006.

Claims 1-4 and 6-13 are pending in this application and claims 1-4 and 6-13 have been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 5 and 7 – 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bourdelais, U.S. Patent No. 6,727,925 in view of Lin, U.S. Patent No. 6,369,835 in further view of Agnew, U.S. Patent No. 6,583,792.

As per claim 1, Bourdelais teaches a data-providing apparatus for editing image data in response to a demand transmitted from a data-processing apparatus through a network, said data-providing apparatus comprising:

Page 3

first acquisition means for acquiring a scenario in response to a demand made by the data-processing apparatus (see figs. 4 and 5; and col. 9, lines 18 – 35); second acquisition means for acquiring a given number of image data items that will be used in the scenario, in response to a demand made by the data-processing apparatus (see fig. 8 and col. 10, lines 24 – 33); user image-data management means for storing the one or more scenarios and the image data items (see fig. 14; column 12 lines 31-38); receiving means for receiving the image data items transmitted to a user (col. 8, lines 12-31); temporary storage means for temporarily storing edited scenarios and edited image data items (col. 7 lines 33-36, col. 15 lines 6-23) means for selecting prescribed ones of the image data items acquired by the second acquisition means and for allocating the prescribed image data items to the scenes of the scenario acquired by the first acquisition means (see figs. 8 and 9; and col. 10, lines 14 – 55); and editing means for editing the image data items that are allocated to the scenes of the acquired scenario (see figs. 9 and 10; and col. 10, line 56 – col. 11, line 20). Bourdelais does not teach a first acquisition means for acquiring a scenario consisting of a plurality of scenes, each lasting for a given time, in response to a demand made by the data-processing apparatus. Lin teaches an acquisition means for acquiring a scenario consisting of a plurality of scenes, each lasting for a given time, in response to a demand made by a data-processing apparatus (see col. 2, lines 60 - 65). It would have been obvious to

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of Lin with the method of Bourdelais in order to allow an improved method of editing multimedia documents. Bourdelais and Lin still do not explicitly disclose image data items being transmitted by a user. However, Agnew teaches image data items being transmitted by a user and receiving means for receiving the image data items transmitted by the user from the data-processing apparatus through the network (Column 6 lines 15-28). Therefore it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine the teaching of Agnew with the modified method of Bourdelais so that a user is able to adapt a situation to his/her personal taste by submitting an image to superimpose.

As per claim 2, Bourdelais further teaches the data-providing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein prescribed special effects are allocated to the prescribed ones of the scenes of the scenario, and the apparatus further comprises effect-applying means for applying the special effects to the image data items allocated to the scenes (Bourdelais, see col. 10, line 13 – 17 and col. 11, lines 21 – 26).

As per claim 3, Bourdelais further teaches the data-providing apparatus , according to claim 2, further comprising transmission control means for controlling the transmission of the image data generated by applying the special effects to the image data items by the effect-applying means (Bourdelais, see col. 8, lines 9-20 and col. 14, lines 22-33).

As per claim 4, Bourdelais further teaches the data-providing apparatus according to claim 2, further comprising recording control means for controlling the recording of the image data generated by applying the special effects to the image data items by the effect-applying means (Bourdelais, see col. 14, lines 22 – 33).

As per claim 7, Bourdelais further teaches the data-providing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the second acquisition means acquires the image data items supplied from the data-processing apparatus (Bourdelais, see col. 15, lines 6 – 14).

As per claim 8, Bourdelais further teaches the data-providing apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the second acquisition means acquires the image data items supplied from another data-processing apparatus (Bourdelais, see col. 8, lines 9 – 20).

As per claims 9,10,12 they are of similar scope to claim 1 and are rejected under the same rationale (see rejection above).

Application/Control Number: 10/018,954

Art Unit: 2174

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bourdelais, U.S. Patent No. 6,727,925 in view of Lin, U.S. Patent No. 6,369,835 in view of Agnew, U.S. Patent No. 6,583,792 in view of Davis et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,969,716.

As per claim 6, Bourdelais-Lin-Agnew do not teach the data-providing apparatus according to claim 5, wherein different pieces of music are allocated to the plurality of scenarios. Davis teaches wherein different pieces of music are allocated to the plurality of scenarios (see col. 4, lines 34 – 40). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of Davis with the modified method of Bourdelais and Lin in order to provide an improved method for creation of a multimedia file.

Claims 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bourdelais, U.S. Patent No. 6,727,925 in view of Lin, U.S. Patent No. 6,369,835 in view of Agnew, U.S. Patent No. 6,583,792 in further view of Ubillos, U.S. Patent 5,999,173.

As per claim 11, although the modified Bourdelais further teaches the data providing apparatus according to claim1, wherein the editing means is capable of editing the image data items (Bourdelais, col. 7 lines 33-36; col. 15, lines 6-23) transmitted by the user and received by the receiving means (Agnew,) with the one ore more scenarios and the image data items stored at the user video-data management

means (Bourdelais, see fig. 14; col. 12 lines 31-38; column 7 lines 33-36), the modified Bourdelais does not explicitly disclose editing image data items together. Ubillos teaches editing image data items together (Figs. 2,3,and 5;col. 5, line 30 – col. 6 line 23; col. 6 lines 63-66). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of Ubillos with the method of the modified Bourdelais in order to combine related clips.

As per claim 13, it is similar in scope to that of claim 11, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4,6-13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan F. Pitaro whose telephone number is 571-272-4071. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am - 4:30pm Mondays through Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on 571-272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ryan Pitaro Art Unit 2174 Patent Examiner

RFP

Bustine Vincaid KRISTINE KINCAID SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**